
Purist or not ?

Posted by Lisa - 2008/04/25 00:11

Seeing as I am reading every sequel I can find you can say that I am not a purist. However I enjoy for the tone of the book to match somewhat as to how Jane might have wrote. Using the language both spoken and bodily for the time period. No matter if it is a book or movie I am the type of person who puts there entire self into said action. I want and yearn for more of the story if it has truely captured my heart. Pride and Prejudice has done that for me an got me to pick up a book and read my collection of just sequel is over 30 strong and I have read severl many times over and in a short period of time (the last year) it is unheard of for me. So for Jane Austen to have given me the desire to read and write I might add on a topic that so inspired her who am I to be a purest and not just enjoy what she started so long ago.;

=====

Re:Purist or not ?

Posted by plainjanegal - 2008/06/19 21:08

Lisa wrote:

Seeing as I am reading every sequel I can find you can say that I am not a purist. However I enjoy for the tone of the book to match somewhat as to how Jane might have wrote. Using the language both spoken and bodily for the time period. No matter if it is a book or movie I am the type of person who puts there entire self into said action. I want and yearn for more of the story if it has truely captured my heart. Pride and Prejudice has done that for me an got me to pick up a book and read my collection of just sequel is over 30 strong and I have read severl many times over and in a short period of time (the last year) it is unheard of for me. So for Jane Austen to have given me the desire to read and write I might add on a topic that so inspired her who am I to be a purest and not just enjoy what she started so long ago.;

I don't know about using the same language that Jane used. One author I read who attmpted this just went around in circles just to say simple things. Jane Austen did not do this except when she had her character Williams Collins speaking. He went in circles. SHE did not.

I would rather people use simple language as it seems when they attempt to write in words that Jane would have used- the book comes out just one big bore. Now, I did not feel that way about Jane's books, but I do feel her writting worked because that is how she spoke in everyday life.

We do not talk that way anymore. I feel that when modern authors attempt to do this, things often get "lost in the translation". I guess it is sort've like if I went to France and tried to speak french. I may know a few french words but because that language places their nowns and verbs differrantly then we do, I would surely make mistakes and it would be hard for a french person to understand me.

That is how some of these writers come across to me.

=====